- 1 Q That I learned with all the people in front of me a couple
- years ago. Your driver's license is A235- --
- 3 A Redaction separate from
- 4 O 7!
- 5 A 66 Redaction separate from
- 6 Q Perfect. It says license type E, which would be enhanced
- 7 and O for operator; is that correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Thank you, Mr.
- 10 A No problem.
- 11 Q Outside of the fact that you had your license pull -- or not
- 12 pulled, but -- was it restricted or suspended for six
- months? I apologize. And that was after the accident.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Can I explain that?
- 15 A That was -- I was restricted by the doctor.
- MS. MUZICHUK: Yes. He did not lose his license.
- 17 A No. I never lost my license. The doctor was the one that
- 18 told me after -- for procedures after having episodes like
- 19 that, you can't drive for six months.
- 20 Q Okay. And that's what I was trying to get at with -- in
- very poor terms. You've -- outside of this restriction by
- the doctor for six months, have you ever had any
- 23 restrictions on your license?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q Outside of this six month restriction by the doctor, had you

Page 64



	ourt Name (Last, First, Middle) daction separate from public filing								Nbr.		Given Name(Last, First, Middle) Same			
Name Type Other Names (Last, First, Middle)														
V/A	J/A None													
Place of Birth Citizenship							Last Know	n Addı	ess & Telep	ohone No.				
Michiga	n				USA									
State & I	DLN M	lichigan <mark>H</mark>	530 (Redaction	n separate from	DOB	Redaction	sepa 991	Redaction sep	arate from	public filing				
SID No. 2716 <sup>Redaction seps</sup> FBI No. Redaction separate from public							i	Lake Orion Michigan 48362						
Race			Sex	Hair		Eyes		Redaction separate from public filing						
White			Male	Brow	'n	Gree	n							
Height	Weight	Highest G	rade Com	pleted	Occupation			Health Ins. Assets-S		Assets-\$1,	ets-\$1,500 & Up Monthly Income of \$75 &			
5' 10"	157	Tenth Gra	ade		None			No		No		Yes		
Marital S	Status	Dependen	ts R	eligion		N	Military Br	anch	anch Military Dates			Discharge Type		
Single		0	N	lo Prefere	ence	1	Vone		None			None		
			Marks,	Scars, Am	putations, Ta	ttoos				Drug Abuse		Alcohol Abuse	Mental Health Treatment	
Tattoo Right Forearm Scar Left Hand Tattoo						Tattoo Lef	ft Forearm Yes				Yes	No		
	2/10714				CF	RIM	INAL I	HISTOR	Y					
Invenile Adult Status at Time of Offense														

Juvenile Adu					dult		Status at Time of Offense					
Comm.	Prob.	Esc.	Jail	Pris.	Prob.	Esc.	None		Delayed Sentence			
1	1	0	9	0	4	0	HYTA		Parole			
Age of First Arrest Se		Sex O	offense Convictions SAI Eligible			le	Probation		Jail			
14 0			No			District Probation	1	State Prison				
Pending C	Charges in	Court	No. of Pri	or Felony	Conviction	\$	Federal Probation	1 X	On Bond			
Yes 1					Federal Parole		Juvenile Court Supervision					
Type of R	eport	County	Oakland	County			Agent & Caseload No. Redaction	separate f	rom public filing			
Presenten	ice	DOC Reco	ommended	Dispositio	n 4	Probat	ion Violation New Sentence No	Proba	tion Violation Technical No			

# **CURRENT OFFENSE**

NO. 1	OF 4	Docket No.: Cha	arge (1):	Last	Name: Redaction sep	me:			
PACC Code	Offense			Max		Consecutive Sentence			
750.110A3	Home Invasion - 2nd	l Degree (Hab Crim	a 2nd Off.)	22 y	rs.6 mos.	No			
Victim / Relationship Redaction sa Nicholas - No R	elationship		Codefer None	idant(s)					
Circuit 06th Circuit Court - Oa	akland County	Judge Leo Bowman		Attorney Zivian, Rober	:	Retained / Appointed Appointed			
Method of Conviction	Date of Offense	Date of Arrest	Date of Bond	Date of Conviction	Jail Credit	Guilty But Mentally III			
Plea	12/17/2018	12/17/2018	N/A	05/07/2019	169	No			

### DISPOSITION

Sentence Type		S	entence Dat		eparate from public	fi	Fine	Cost	Restitution			
MINIMUM				MAXIMUM LI			J.	AIL Supervision Fees		Crime Victims Assessment		
Years	Months	Days	Years	Months	Days		Months	Days				
Attorney Fees					Forensic F	orensic Fees			tion Fund Fees	Other Fees		
Sentencin	g Guideline	28										
		RANGE			LIFE		NA	Prior Rec	ord Total	Offense Variable Total		
Low:	43	Hig	h: 1	07				67		35		
Comment	is:					•						

Moreover, plaintiff testified that at the time of her trip on the sidewalk, she was not looking down where she was walking but rather was looking at a man who was walking about two blocks away from her. (Ex C, p 63; Apx 99.) She was asked: "[d]o you recall where you were looking at the time you fell?" She responded that, "two block down there was a man walking"; and when asked, "[s]o you were looking at the man?", she responded: "Yeah." (Ex C, p 63; Apx 99.)

Photographs of the sidewalk defect at issue show two concrete panels of sidewalk with a vertical discontinuity that is very clearly observable. (See Ex E: Photographs; Apx 194.) The photographs show that one cement panel starts out on one end being even with the panel next to it and then rises until the other end is higher than the panel next to it, and according to plaintiff, ends up being nearly 5 inches higher at its highest point. (See Ex E: Photographs; Apx 194.) So the discontinuity is wedge-shaped, rising from one side of the cement panel to the other side.(*Id.*)

Plaintiff, who was born on May 24, 1955 and had glaucoma, had prescription eyeglasses for nearsightedness<sup>1</sup> at the time of her trip and fall on the sidewalk, but she was not wearing her eyeglasses when she tripped and fell. (Ex C, pp 11, 27, 80; Apx 47, 63, 116.) She had also slipped and fallen while at church about ten years before her trip and fall on the subject sidewalk in this case. (Ex C, pp 40-41; Apx 76-77.)

At the time of her trip and fall on the sidewalk in this case, plaintiff had already undergone four major surgeries, including surgeries for a neck discectomy fusion, meniscus (knee) repair, right shoulder rotator cuff repair, and bladder suspension mesh. (Ex C, pp 42-43; Apx 78-79.) She also had arthritis and had undergone injections in her knees for the arthritic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Nearsighted is defined as being "able to see near things more clearly than distant ones"; and it is also known as being "myopic." *Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary* (11th Ed), p 775; see also *Merriam Webster's Online Dictionary* (usage example given: he "needed glasses because he was nearsighted").

On the evening of Redaction separate from public filling, defendant and his friend, Redaction separate from public filling, were at Eastland Mall in Harper Woods, Michigan. 6 and defendant were both members of the Eastside Ghetto Boys (EGB), a gang that was affiliated or aligned with Rob Gang. 31b-32b.

Redaction separate from public filling asked if T Redaction separate from public filling asked if T Redaction separate from public filling asked if T Redaction separate from public filling would walk the victim out of the mall and Redaction separate from public filling called Redaction separate from public filling asked if T Redaction sepa

As based why would not walk the victim out of the mall. The replied: "Cause he gonna shoot him." 27b-28b. The overheard the conversation between defendant and Redaction separate from public filing. Defendant told that he would walk the victim out of the mall in a few minutes and would lead the way. Defendant also described what he (defendant) was wearing. 16b-28b.

defendant's assistance in perpetrating the murder. Defendant's supplemental brief, page 17; see also id. at pages 11, 28, and 38. But the jury is the ultimate arbiter of the facts and, by their guilty verdict, they have spoken. See  $People\ v$   $Lemmon,\ 456\ Mich\ 625,\ 637;\ 576\ NW2d\ 129\ (1998)$ . We are long past the point of referring to defendant's "alleged" conduct—we must acknowledge the facts, as found by the jury, that led to the murder of this teenaged victim.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Defendant, who was born on October 20, Reduction separate from public filling and 7 days old on Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are who was born on March 9, Reduction separate from public filling are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Redaction separate from public filling</sup>, who was born on June 14, Redaction separate from public filling, and 13 days old on Redaction separate from public filling.

### B. Overview.

Plaintiff asserts that, in October 2015, Dr. Lonappan failed to properly treat and diagnose a Group B Streptococcus infection.<sup>3</sup> Plaintiff contends that WBH is liable for Dr. Lonappan's negligence, under a theory of "ostensible agency." *Id*.<sup>4</sup> Dr. Lonappan works in private practice as an employee of Hospital Consultants, P.C. She has never been an employee of WBH and instead held staff privileges at the hospital.<sup>5</sup> Given her undisputed status as an independent contractor, WBH denied that any agency relationship exists between WBH and Dr. Lonappan.<sup>6</sup>

### C. Factual background.

Ms. Markel, born on March 15, and years-old in October 2015, has a history of uterine-bleeding/polyps/etc., dating back years. She also has a history of back laminectomy surgery (x 2), which was performed by Ricky Olson, M.D. approximately 20 years ago. In addition, she underwent total left knee arthroplasty in 2006, and total right knee arthroplasty in 2008.<sup>7</sup>

On October 2, 2015, board-certified obstetrician/gynecologist, Mark Dykowski, M.D., performed a hysteroscopic endometrial polypectomy (i.e., with dilatation

<sup>4</sup> There is no claim of "independent negligence" against WBH.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Exhibit B, Complaint.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Exhibit C, 8/06/19 Opinion, p. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Plaintiff's case against the Co-Defendants, Dr. Lonappan and HCPC, is stayed pending full resolution of this appeal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See **Exhibit D**, M. Markel Dep., pp. 27-28, 67-68.

this deposition to the extent that I can; fair enough? 1 2 Α Yes. Normally I have a very loud voice, I don't today. 3 If you have difficulty hearing me or if you simply don't 4 understand my question, please indicate that you don't 5 understand my question and I'll restate it or rephrase it; 6 7 fair enough? 8 Α Yes. 9 First of all, for the record, can I have your full name? 10 11 Α Scott Redaction separate from public filing 12 What is your date of birth, Dr. Q 2/2/1962. 13 Α So you are 56? 14 Q 15 Α Seven. 57; thank you. I'm going to mark as Defendant's 16 Exhibit Number 1 a legal pleading entitled second notice of 17 taking discovery only deposition of plaintiff's expert 18 witness, Scott Redaction separate from public film, MD, PhD and notice to produce. 19 20 (Whereupon, Renotice of Deposition was 21 marked as Defendant's Exhibit 1 for identification.) 22 (By Mr. Thomas) Have you seen this document 23 before today? 24 Α Yes. 25 Did you comply with the requested information Q

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Dr. Redaction separate from public filling Deposition Exhibit 1,
3	Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3, Exhibit 4, and Exhibit 5 were
4	marked for identification and are attached.)
5	Whereupon,
6	Redaction separate from public filing, JR., M.D.,
7	being first duly sworn or affirmed to testify to the
8	truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, was
9	examined and testified as follows:
10	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANTS
11	BY MR. DWAIHY:
12	Q Good morning, Doctor. Would you please
13	state your full name for the record.
14	A Yes. My name is Redaction separate from public filing
15	Q And your date of birth, please.
16	A October 9th, 1962.
17	Q And your professional address, please.
18	A Redaction separate from public filing
19	Richmond, Virginia 23235.
20	Q Thank you. That's where we are today?
21	A We are near my office in the same building.
22	Q Thank you for that. Before we started the
23	deposition, I marked for the record certain exhibits
24	that I want to discuss with you.
25	Before we do that, I will put on the record
<u> </u>	

#### March 8, 2018

- 1 Q. Are you married at the present time? 2 A. No. 3 Q. Single. You've been married in the past? 4 Yes. A. Where did you go to high school? 5 Q. Pickford. 6 A. 7 When did you graduate? Q. 8 A. 1983. 9 Your date of birth is? Q. 10 6-1-65. A. 11 And since you graduated from Pickford in 1983, what have 12 you done? A. I worked in a saw mill for five years. Then I took --13 14 Okay. Let's start -- Let's do this chronologically. Q. 15 A . Okay. 16 Q. So you graduated --17 You're really going to rack the brain here, buddy. Where 18 are we going here? Painful. 19 You graduated in '83, right? Q. 20 A. Right. 21 And then where did you go to work after that? Q. 22 I went to Wood Forest Industries. They made bi-fold Α. 23 doors for pre-fab homes.
  - Page 6

Pickford is on the east end of the U.P.?

Yeah, by Sault Ste. Marie.

24

25

Q.

A.

1b District Court Register of Act	tions								¥
C									E
STATE OF MICHIGAN	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			-	CASE NO	0: 18	-0214	D01	FY
53RD JUDICIAL DISTRICT	REGISTER	OF A	CTIC	NS	X-REFE			75336-1	-
ORI470025J					STATUS			05/23/1	~
PIN: 5336-2017								,, -	
	J	JDGE	OF R	ECORD	: HATTY,	MICHAE	L P.,	P-3	0990
					: HATTY, I			P-3	099
STATE OF MICHIGAN v							•		
						CTN:	47170	0319301	MS
WHITE/KEVIN/JR						TCN:	I9182	04834P	
Redaction separate from public	filing					SID:			
CLINTON TOWNSHIP MI	48035				ENTRY	DATE:	01/23	/18	/1
					OFFENSE	DATE:	10/24	/17	4
	Post of the second				ARREST	DATE:			2
DEFENDANT PHONE: (589)	649 - Reduction separate r	VEHI	CLE	TYPE:		VPN:			5/14/2021
DOB: 10/14/Redaction separate SEX: N	RACE: B	DLN:	MI	W300 Redain	ection separate from public filing	CDL:			
VEH YR: VEH MA		VIN:				PAPE	R PLAT	'E:	5
DEFENSE ATTORNEY ADDRES					NO.				5:04:16 PM
MCCRIRIE, WILLIAM D., III	<del>-</del> -				4144				
123 MASON RD					ephone No				6
HOWELL MI 488	343				0) 229-63				
OFFICER:				DEPT	: LIVINGS	STON C	YTNUC	SHERIFF	Z
PROSECUTOR: DELVERO, AND VICTIM/DESC: YOUNG/DET/				p.	-64676				
CMT 01 C/W/T T F5001	2°7 °A				בא ממוני	750 27			
CNT: 01 C/M/F: F 75031		*****	n		PACC#	750.31	7A		
DELIVERY OF CONTROLLED			NG D	EATH		TOT 1713 1	- x		
	29/18 PLI			0-/-	02/20	PLEA 1	DATE:		
FINDINGS: EX COND B/O SENTENCING DATE:	DISPOSI	LTON	DATE	: 05/2	23/18				
FINE COST ST	C.COST (	CON	M	ISC.	REST	TOT	FINE	TOT	DUE
0.00 0.00		.00		.00	0.00	_	0.00	0	.00
JAIL SENTENCE:			BATI				_		
VEH IMMOB START DATE:				OF DAY	YS:	VEH	FORFE	ITURE:	
				,					

# BOND HISTORY:

300,000.00 CASH OR SURETY BOND CONTINUED

DATE	ACTIONS, JUDG	MENTS, (	CASE NOTES	INIT	IALS
10/24/17					
1 ORIGINAL CHARGE	CS-CAUS D'	TH			GAR
01/23/18					
FILING DATE	012318				GAR
1 AUTHORIZATION OF COM	MPLAINT DATE	r			GAR
PROS VAILLIENCOURT,	VILLI			P-39115	GAR
COMPLAINT ISSUANCE I	DATE				GAR
MISCELLANEOUS ACTION	ALL COUNT	'S			GAR
CO-DEFENDANT DANIEL	LE HANNAFORD, KE	LLY			GAR
WHITLOW					GAR
WARRANT ENTRY REQUES	STED 12318 2	37P			GAR
SYSIDNO (20:) GENERA	ATED BY LEIN				
	46610257				GAR
WARRANT ENTERED INTO	O LEIN				GAR
1 ORDER FOR FINGERPRI	NTS GENERATED				~~~
	CS-CAUS D	TH			GAR
ORDER FOR FINGERPRI	NTS GENERATED				

### **BEAUMONT HEALTH**

ROYAL OAK HOSPITAL 3601 W THIRTEEN MILE RD ROYAL OAK MI 48073-6712

Discharge Summary

Anne

MRN: 1568410, DOB: 3/15/ Sex: F

Acct #:

Adm: 10/9/2015, Dsc: 10/11/2015

Guarantor Account (for Hospital Account # \*\*edaction set from public filin (continued)

Address

Phone

BERKLEY, MI 48072-1060

248-Redaction Separate fil (H) 248-(0)

Coverage Information (for Hospital Account

# Redaction separate from public filing

Admission Information

Attending Provider Lonappan, Linet P. MD

Discharge Date 10/11/15

Admitting Provider Lonappan, Linet P, MD

Hospital Service

.RO-MED

Room/Bed

6305/06/6306

Admission Type

Emergent

Auth/Cert Status **OPPM** Complete

Admission Status

Discharged (Confirmed)

Admission Date/Time 10/09/15 1713

Service Area

BEAUMONT HEALTH SYSTEM

Referring Provider

6 ST GYN TEAM CARE A

Point of Origin BHS - Home

Accident Date

Accident Time

Admission

Unit

Complaint

Left-sided low back pain with left-sided sciatica [M54.42] Lumbar radiculopathy [M54.16], Lumbar Spinal Stenosis

Admission Diagnoses / Reasons for Visit (ICD-10-CM)

Code M54.16 Description

Radiculopathy, lumbar region

M54.42

Lumbago with sciatica, left side

Final Diagnoses (ICD-10-CM)

Code M54.16 Description

[Principal]

Radiculopathy, lumbar region

POA

Comments

CC

Affects HAC

DRG

Redaction separate from public filing

1	MS. DELVERO: is 333.74012A4. That is the
2	PAC code. What's in the email that is attached to the
3	plea form is the statute number. They are pretty similar,
4	but not exact.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
6	All right. Ms. Hannaford, raise your right hand
7	to be sworn. Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole
8	truth, and nothing but the truth in the matter now pending
9	before this Court?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
11	DANIELLE LYNN HANNAFORD
12	(At 9:53 a.m., having been called and sworn by
13	the Court, testified as follows.)
14	THE COURT: All right. You can put your hand
15	down.
16	What's your name, young lady?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Danielle Hannaford.
18	THE COURT: And how old are you?
19	THE DEFENDANT:
20	THE COURT: What's your date of birth?
21	THE DEFENDANT: 11/2 Redaction separat
22	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Eleventh grade.
24	THE COURT: Where did you last attend high
25	school at?

# APPELLANT'S MOAA APPENDIX COMPLAINT

and Health Services (WSU), was terminated on November 27, 2017.

- began working for Wayne State University on March 11, 1974 and had
   served WSU with dedication for 43 years when WSU fired her.
- 3. Redaction separate from was born on February 7. Redaction separate was (Redaction separate from was born on February 7. Redaction separate from was old when WSU fired her.
- brings this action because WSU terminated her because of her age,
   disability, and in retaliation for taking a Family and Medical Leave Act leave.

### JURISDICTION, PARTIES, AND VENUE

- 5. Redaction separate from is a citizen of the United States and resides in Macomb County, Michigan.
- The Board of Governors for Wayne State University is a constitutional agency
  of the State of Michigan and operates the Eugene Applebaum College of Pharmacy and Health
  Services.
- Venue in this court is proper because the University is located in Wayne
   County. All of the events giving rise to this cause of action occurred in Wayne County.
- 8. This court has jurisdiction over WSU under the Elliott Larsen Civil Rights Act ("ELCRA") MCL 37.2101 et seq. and the Michigan Persons With Disabilities Civil Rights Act ("PWDCRA"), M.C.L. § 37.1101, et seq. because WSU is an employer under the ELCRA and the PWDCRA.
  - 9. The amount in controversy exceeds \$25,000.

### STATEMENT OF FACTS

10. began her employment with WSU on March 11, 1974. She was first employed as an Office Assistant.

interview, Defendant selected an external candidate that was substantially younger than Plaintiff and Caucasian, who did not meet the minimum qualifications of the job. The proffered reason for selecting the substantially younger and Caucasian applicant was that "he was the best fit." Not only did Defendant provide a subjective reason for selecting the younger, white applicant, but Defendant also engaged in a number of irregularities during the interview process. For instance, the interview panelists completed evaluation score sheets. They reduced Plaintiff's scores and failed to score certain questions, while at the same time, they added a significant amount of points and changed scores upwards to make the younger Caucasian applicant appear superior. Accordingly, Plaintiff filed the instant cause of action, alleging age and race discrimination in violation of the Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act.

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

Plaintiff, an African-American, was born on October 21, 1954 and is currently sixty-three (63) years old. (Exhibit 1 – Deposition at 5). In January 1989, Plaintiff began working as a part-time Employee Health Technician at St. Luke's Hospital. (Ex. 1 at 11). At the time, Plaintiff was a licensed practical nurse and assisted with pre-employment physicals and other employment related matters. (Ex. 1 at 11). Plaintiff subsequently became a full-time employee and assumed the role of Human Resources Technician. (Ex. 1 at 12). When St. Luke's merged with Saginaw General Hospital in 1998 to create Defendant, Plaintiff became a Human Resources Specialist, a recruiter. (Ex. 1 at 15). Plaintiff held the position for the next eighteen (18) years.

In 2016, two Human Resources Consultants, Lisa Killey and Ashley Warmbier,

### **DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL EXAMINATION**

- 2. During the course of discovery, plaintiffs' counsel agreed that.

  Reduction separate from public filling
  who is a 17 year old minor with a date of birth of February would undergo a
  neuropsychological evaluation to be performed by defendant's neuropsychology expert Jennifer
  Huffman, PhD.
- 3. As part of his investigation and discovery into Redaction separate from public filing's current mental condition and claim for damages, on October 30, 2018, defense counsel forwarded a proposed Stipulated Order Regarding Medical Examination of Redaction separate from public filing by Jennifer Huffman, PhD. (See Exhibit B, Stipulated Order and Email).
- 4. Plaintiffs' counsel made several proposed changes to order. For example, plaintiffs seek to have third party observers present in the room during Jennifer Huffman, PhD's evaluation of the testing from a position where seek to have a list of any questions, view the testing from a position where seek to have a list of any questions Jennifer Huffman, PhD asks during her examination be provided to plaintiffs within five days of her evaluations. (See Exhibit C, Order for Defense-Requested Neuropsychological Exam and Email Chain between counsels). Plaintiffs' proposed changes, however, set unacceptable, annoying, burdensome and intrusive conditions which would inappropriately affect the performance of the neuropsychological evaluation and go against ethical standards of practice for psychologists in Michigan. (See Exhibit D, Affidavit of Jennifer Huffman with Curriculum Vitae and Exhibit E, Supporting Literature). Therefore, defense counsel could not agree to the proposed changes necessitating the instant motion.
- 5. Pursuant to MCR 2.302(B)(1), parties may obtain discovery regarding any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action.

Ą

Original -02ptra 1st copy - Corrections 2nd copy - Corrections

copy - Michigan State Police CJIC

Approved, SCAO STATE OF MICHIGAN 10<sup>th</sup> JUDICIAL CIRCUIT SAGINAW COUNTY

# JUDGMENT OF SENTER **COMMITMENT TO**

				Defenda							
s (for return)	5	th co	ру — Ì	Prosecu							
NCE				CAS	E N	0.					
CTIONS				16-042	2719	-FC					
	_ <b>4</b>				С	ourt telephone no. (989)790-5471					
nt's name, add	iress.	and to	eleph	one no.							
Robert Lan	ice										
parate from public f	iling										
i 003762-01	SID	207	daction se	parate fi	DOE	3/Redaction sepa					
	1	20									
nt attorney's n n, Edwin G						Bar no. P74038					
ii, Luviii G	11111					1-74030					
ne crime(s)	stated	d bel	ow.								
CHARGE CODE(S)											
MCL citation/PACC Code											
750.316-A											
769.12											
missad by say	t or "	NID" 6	or dia	minand	h	osecutor/plaintiff.					
•		Re		parate from put	•	บออบนเบเ/ผูเสเทแท.					
25(21)(b).	P-6	<u> 10-</u>				<del></del>					
	Defe	ndan	t's dri	ver's lice	ense	number					
				, .		•					
revious cas	e. N	o as	sess	ment is	s rec	juirea.					
Плот	-:++-d										
. ☐ pern			مالله	2 0700	ıtad :	mmodiately					
ENTENCE	JAIL			e exect	iteu i	mmediately.					
	Mos.		ays	ОТ	HER	INFORMATION					
6/18		62		WITH	OU	ΓPAROLE					
entence is con	curren	it.)									
		•									
					_						
Fees I	Fine	$\Box$	Ot	her Cos	s	Total					
						\$15,991.00					
and fees n	ot pa	id wi	thin	56 dav	s of	the due date					
, and fees n	ot pa	id wi	thin	56 day	s of	the due date					

ORI MI-730					rt addres S. Michi	SS		w, Michiga	an 48602	13			Court telephone no. (989)790-5471
	eport No.		OF THE	STAT	E OF M	11CHIG/	AN	v	Defendant's nan Propp, Robe Redaction separate from	rt Lance	, and telep	hone no.	
		The	State o	f Mich	igan				CTN/TCN 73-16-00376	SIC   SIC	20 Redaction	separate fi	B 3/Redaction sepa
	ting attori		me				Bar no	- 1	Defendant attorney's name Bar				
	OURT F						P7491	]]	Johnson, Edv	MIT GITT			P74038
	defend		s found	guilty o	n Date	2	2/13/18		of the crin	ne(s) stat	ed below.		
Count	COI Plea*	NVICTEI Court	DBY Jury		ISSED Y*			CR	IME			CHARGE (MCL citation/F	
2			X			MURDE	R-1ST	-PREME			750.31		
						HOA 47					769.12		
	-					<u>,,,,,,</u>					1.00.1.		
*Incort !	G" for au	lhunion !	'NC" for n	ale cent	andere e	r "MI" for	auilte but	montolly ill	, "D" for dismissed	by court o	"ND" for di	emissed by n	roos outor/plaintiff
								-		-	Dodaction	striissed by pa	rosecutor/praintiir.
									CL 257.625(21)		610-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	IIV testii	_			_		•			De	endant's d	river's license	number
				_	•		_	CL 28.24					_
		-	s alread	ly on fil	e with ti	he Mich	igan Sta	ate Police	e from a previou	is case.	Vo asses	sment is re	quired.
	RDERE												
	robation						., .	_		T			
	ticipating	_	-							] permitte			
8. The		ENCE		to cust MINIMUN		ne Michi	gan Der MAXIMU		of Corrections. T		ice snail t L CREDIT	e executed	immediately.
Count	1	ATE	Years	Mos.	Days	Years	Mos.	Days	BEGINS	Mos		OTHER	INFORMATION
2	3/2	6/18	LIFE		1				3/26/18		628	WITHOU	T PAROLE
												1	
	<del>                                     </del>											<u> </u>	
□ 9. \$	Sentence	e(s) to	be serve	ed cons	ecutive	ly to (If	this item	is not chec	ked, the sentence	is concurr	ent.)		
	each oth			e numb					•		,		
10. Th	e defen	dant sh	all pay:		_								
	Minimum		e Victim	Restit	ution [	DNA Asse	ess. Co	urt Costs	Attorney Fees	Fine	0	ther Costs	Total
\$6	8.00	\$1:	30.00	\$15,7	93.00		İ						\$15,991.00
The	due da	te for p	ayment	is 4/2	26/18			. Fi	ne, costs, and f	ees not p	aid withir	56 days of	the due date
	subject					amount	owed.			·			
	The co	onceale		on boa	rd shall			or	days , issued by	perm	anently re	evoke the	concealed County.
□ 12						onitorino	Linder	MCL 750.					oounty.
	urt Rec		-		-unit 111	or morning	, unuci	.viol 100.	.0.011.				
13. 00	un Rec	omme	iualion.								Α		
3/26/1	8								000	$\bigcirc$	<u>l</u>		P34737
Date		***************************************							idge Darnelf Jack	7.1	-		Bar no.
									court records. T ignated by the d			thout needle	ess delay, deliver
PRISON		MB		SFAI					· (	Sue B	edense	الا	

Deputy court clerk Susan M. Bidwell